arXiv:1701.03546v1 [math.DS] 13 Jan 2017

JOINT COBOUNDARIES

TERRY ADAMS AND JOSEPH ROSENBLATT

Abstract. We ask under what conditions on the function f, and a set of maps $\mathcal{T}$, it is the case that f is a coboundary for some map in $\mathcal{T}$. We also consider for a function f, and a set of maps $\mathcal{T}$, when we have f being a coboundary for all the maps in $\mathcal{T}$.

1. Introduction

Let $T : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ be a continuous linear mapping where $\mathcal{F}$ is a Banach space (of functions). Suppose $\mathcal{E}$ is another Banach space which is a subspace of $\mathcal{F}$ with $T(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{E}$. Given $f \in \mathcal{E}$, we say that f is a *τ-coboundary* with *transfer function* $h \in \mathcal{F}$ if $f = h - T(h)$.

We are interested in being able to recognize when f is a T-coboundary for different Banach spaces $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{E}$, and various mappings T. In particular, given a class of continuous linear maps $\mathcal{T}$, we study the following:

(1) Given f, how large is the set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{T}$ such that f is a T-coboundary for every $T \in \mathcal{S}$?
(2) Given f, does there always exist some $T \in \mathcal{T}$ for which f is a T-coboundary?
(3) For which $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{T}$ does there exist a function $f \in \mathcal{E}$ which is a T-coboundary for every $T \in \mathcal{S}$?

It is important that we be able to vary the ambient space $\mathcal{F}$ containing the transfer function. For example, consider $\mathcal{E} = C(\mathbb{T})$ with $\mathbb{T}$ being the circle group, and let $\mathcal{T}$ consist of all rotations $T(h) = h \circ \tau_\alpha$ where $h \in \mathcal{F}$, for some class of functions $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{T}$. Here τ_α is the rotation given by $\tau_\alpha(\beta) = \alpha\beta$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{T}$. If we take f to be a trigonometric polynomial, then for any rotation τ_α there is a transfer function h which is itself a trigonometric polynomial for which $f = h - h \circ \tau_\alpha$. However, if $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ and is not a trigonometric polynomial, then with $\mathcal{F} = L_1(\mathbb{T})$, there is only a first category set of α (possibly empty) for which the coboundary equation can hold. It is an open question whether or not the same thing is true when $\mathcal{F}$ is allowed to consist of any Lebesgue measurable function. See Baggett [3] and Baggett, Medina, and Merill [2].

We want to consider the coboundary questions in a common context that arises in ergodic theory. Take a standard Lebesgue probability space $(X, \mathcal{B}, p)$ and a *map* $\tau : X \to X$ i.e. a measure-preserving invertible transformation of $(X, \mathcal{B}, p)$. Given $r, 1 \le r \le \infty$ and $1 \le s \le \infty$, we study the class of functions $f \in L_r(X)$ such that f is a *τ-coboundary* with transfer function in $L_s(X)$ i.e. there exists $h \in L_s(X)$ such that $f = h - h \circ \tau$.

For the most part, we consider the coboundary problem with $r = s$, but there are interesting issues if we allow $s < r$ too. When $s < r$ and $f \in L_r(X)$, then we could possibly solve the coboundary equation with τ-transfer functions in $L_s(X)$, when we could not solve this equation with transfer function in $L_r(X)$. The special case where $s = 0$ (i.e., the transfer functions are only assumed to be measurable) is a very interesting case, just as it is in the problem of Baggett [3, 2]. This type of problem for ergodic maps is discussed some in Section 6

Date: July, 2016.

There have been many articles dealing with coboundaries and their characterizations in various contexts. In the standard case of maps, a function $f \in L_r(X)$ is a τ-coboundary with transfer function in $L_r(X)$ if and only if the sums $S_n^\tau f = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ \tau^k$, with $n \geq 1$, are uniformly L_r-norm bounded i.e. $\sup_{n\geq 1} \|S_n^\tau f\|_r < \infty$. See Lin and Sine [20] for these results for all $r, 1 \leq r \leq \infty$. Note: the result when $1 < r < \infty$ is quite a bit older, going back to at least Browder [4].

On some level, it is easy to tell if f is a τ-coboundary. We just compute $\|S_n^\tau f\|_r$ and see if it is bounded as n varies. If not, then f is not a τ-coboundary. If these norms are uniformly bounded, at least, then f is a τ-coboundary. But this is somewhat misleading. Indeed, is it so clear that there cannot exist a non-zero function that is a coboundary for all (ergodic) maps? Also, is it possible that there is a mean-zero function which is not a coboundary for any (ergodic) map? This article looks at a variety of questions of this type related to the coboundary equation . For example, in what circumstances is a given function f a coboundary for a set $\mathcal{T}$ of maps (i.e., $\mathcal{T}$ has a non-trivial common coboundary)? If τ and σ commute, then $\mathcal{T} = \{\tau, \sigma\}$ has a common coboundary. The same is true in somewhat more general cases (e.g., $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}$ is a power of τ). It is natural to ask what properties of σ and τ are necessary and sufficient for there to be a non-trivial common coboundary. Although we do not have an explicit example of a pair of maps for which there are no non-trivial common coboundaries, the generic pair of maps have no common coboundaries. This issue is discussed in Section 5. Additionally, even when $\mathcal{T}$ consists of mutually commuting maps, it may be that there are no common coboundaries if τ varies over all of $\mathcal{T}$. We can ask, for instance, when there is a τ-coboundary which is a τ^k-coboundary for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This question is discussed in Section 7.

2. Role of coboundaries in rate of norm convergence

There is an aspect of coboundaries that should be kept in mind. The set of τ-coboundaries $\mathcal{D}_r^\tau = \{h - h\circ\tau : h \in L_s(X)\}$ is always a linear space. The standard case is when $r = s, 1 \leq r < \infty$. Let $\mathcal{I}^\tau$ denote the invariant functions $\{f \in L_r(X) : f \circ \tau = f\}$. We always have $\mathcal{D}_r^\tau + \mathcal{I}^\tau$ is L_r-norm dense in $L_r(X)$. When τ is ergodic, $\mathcal{I}^\tau$ consists just of the constant functions, and $\mathcal{D}^\tau$ is L_r-norm dense in the mean-zero functions in $L_r(X)$. At the same time, the category statement in Proposition 9.1 shows that the generic function is not a coboundary, a well-known fact at least for transfer functions in $L_1(X)$.

Let $A_n^\tau f$ be the standard ergodic average $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ \tau^k$. It is an interesting aspect of the approximation of mean-zero functions by coboundaries that this is what completely determines the rate that $\|A_n^\tau f\|_r$ tends to zero. First, take $f \in L_r(X)$ and $h \in L_r(X)$ with $1 \leq r < \infty$. Then

$$\|A_n^\tau f\|_r \leq \|A_n^\tau(f - (h - h\circ\tau))\|_r + 2\|h\|_r/n.$$

So

$$\|A_n^\tau f\|_r \leq \inf_{\|h\|_r \leq L} \|f - (h - h\circ\tau)\|_r + 2L/n.$$

Hence, with τ ergodic, the approximation of mean-zero f by coboundaries gives an upper-bound for the rate that $\|A_n^\tau f\|_r$ goes to zero.

On the other hand, let $S_n^\tau f = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ \tau^k$. We can express $f - A_n^\tau f = h - h \circ \tau$ with $h = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} S_k^\tau f$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} f - A_n^\tau f &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (f - f \circ \tau^k) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (S_k^\tau f - S_k^\tau f \circ \tau) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} S_k^\tau f - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} S_k^\tau f \right) \circ \tau. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\|h\|_r \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k \|f\|_r \leq n \|f\|_r$. So

$$\|A_n^\tau f\|_r \geq \inf_{\|h\|_r \leq n\|f\|_r} \|f - (h - h \circ \tau)\|_r.$$

This gives a lower-bound for $\|A_n^\tau f\|_r$ in terms of how well f is approximated by τ-coboundaries.

These two bounds are not exactly comparable. Moreover, of course the value of the norm $\|h\|_r$ of the transfer function plays a role too. But nonetheless, these estimates show one important traditional role for coboundaries.

The rate that $\|A_n^\tau f\|_r$ tends to zero, is of course directly connected to the size of $S_n^\tau f$. There are interesting, good results relating to the growth of the norms of cocycles. There is a large literature on this subject. Here are some articles to look at for results: Derriennic [10], Derriennic and Lin [11], Gomilko, Hasse, and Tomilov [12], and Rosenblatt [25]. These articles give some evidence that the following holds (or at least it would be interesting if it did not hold).

Conjecture: Take τ ergodic, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, and any function $\phi(n) = o(n)$. Then there is (and one can explicitly construct) a mean-zero $f \in L_\infty(X)$ such that $\|S_n^\tau f\|_r \sim \phi(n)$ as $n \to \infty$.

3. Algebra gives common coboundaries

The most basic example is when τ and σ commute. Then for any $h \in L_r(X)$, we have $(h - h \circ \tau) - (h - h \circ \tau) \circ \sigma = (h - h \circ \sigma) - (h - h \circ \sigma) \circ \tau$. Hence, $f = (h - h \circ \tau) - (h - h \circ \tau) \circ \sigma$ is both a σ-coboundary and a τ-coboundary.

This can be generalized to include algebraic conditions on the group that σ and τ generate. For example, suppose $\tau\sigma = \sigma\tau^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (h - h \circ \tau) - (h - h \circ \tau) \circ \sigma &= (h - h \circ \tau) - (h \circ \sigma - h \circ \tau \circ \sigma) \\ &= (h - h \circ \tau) - (h \circ \sigma - h \circ \sigma \circ \tau^2) \\ &= (h - h \circ \sigma - h \circ \sigma \circ \tau) - (h - h \circ \sigma - h \circ \sigma \circ \tau) \circ \tau. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the σ- coboundary $(h - h \circ \tau) - (h - h \circ \tau) \circ \sigma$ is also a τ-coboundary.

Also, if $\sigma = \tau^n$ for some n, then any σ-coboundary is a τ-coboundary by a collapsing sum argument. For example, $h - h \circ \tau^2 = h + h \circ \tau - h \circ \tau - h \circ \tau^2 = (h + h \circ \tau) - (h + h \circ \tau) \circ \tau$. But more generally, if n is allowed to be an integrable function on X, then this remains true. See Dajani [7] for the details on this.

Remark 3.1. There are also a number of related results in both Kornfeld [18] and Kornfeld and Losert [17]. These results are in the direction of finding algebraic conditions on $\mathcal{T}$ that guarantee there are non-trivial common coboundaries. But certainly we are far from having a very general algebraic condition on a set of maps (even just two maps) which guarantee they do have common coboundaries (in some transfer class), let alone a characterization of this.

4. Coboundaries and automatic continuity

In this section, we consider first a related issue: when can we represent all mean-zero functions as sums of coboundaries. This is very closely related to the existence of unique invariant means on $L_\infty(X)$. So for this phenomenon to occur the maps must be quite far from having any properties such as in Section 3. So this suggests at least the possibility of having explicit maps with no common coboundaries.

For example, consider a finite set $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m$ of maps for which the integral with respect to p is the unique invariant mean on $L_\infty(X)$. In Rosenblatt [24], it is shown that this is equivalent to automatic continuity of $\{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m\}$-invariant linear functionals of the Lebesgue spaces $L_r(X), 1 < r \leq \infty$. In particular, this uniqueness property implies that for every mean-zero $f \in L_r(X)$, there exist $h_k, k = 1, \ldots, m$ in $L_2(X)$ such that $f = \sum_{k=1}^{m} h_k - h_k \circ \tau_k$ i.e. f is a sum of τ_k-coboundaries. See also Lind [21] where one obtains this type of representation with commuting maps, by allowing the transfer functions to be measurable. This clearly shows the impact of the class of the transfer function since this could not happen with commuting maps if the transfer functions were in $L_r(X), r \geq 1$.

From Rosenblatt [23], using Rosenblatt [24], we see that we have this particular example. The toral automorphisms correspond to the unimodular group (i.e., the integer entry $n \times n$ matrices with determinant 1 or -1). Generally, $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is the subgroup of matrices whose determinant is 1, a subgroup of index two in the unimodular group.

Proposition 4.1. *Consider the probability space $(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathcal{B}, p)$ where p is the usual Lebesgue measure on the two-torus $\mathbb{T}^2$, and $\mathcal{B}$ is the Lebesgue measurable sets. Let $1 < r \leq \infty$. Let $\alpha_1(x, y) = (y, x)$ and $\alpha_2(x, y) = (x, xy)$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{T}^2$. Then for all mean-zero $f \in L_r(\mathbb{T}^2)$, there exist $h_1, h_2 \in L_r(\mathbb{T}^2)$ such that $f = h_1 - h_1 \circ \alpha_1 + h_2 - h_2 \circ \alpha_2$.*

Proof. The uniqueness of the $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$-invariant mean implies that for every $f \in L_r(\mathbb{T}^2)$, there exists $\beta_k, k = 1, \ldots, m$ in the countable group generated by $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ and $h_k, k = 1, \ldots, m$ in $L_r(\mathbb{T}^2)$ such that $f = \sum_{k=1}^{m} h_k - h_k \circ \beta_k$. But actually each $f_k - f_k \circ \beta_k$ is of the form either $h - h \circ \alpha_1$ or $h - h \circ \alpha_2$. Indeed, suppose $\beta = \alpha_{i_1}^{e_1} \ldots \alpha_{i_n}^{e_n}$ where each α_{i_j} is either α_1 or α_2 and each e_j is either 1 or -1. Let $\gamma_k = \alpha_{i_1}^{e_1} \ldots \alpha_{i_k}^{e_k}$ for $k \geq 1$, and $\gamma_0 = 1$. Then $h - h \circ \beta = \sum_{k=1}^{m} h \circ \gamma_{k-1} - h \circ \gamma_k = \sum_{k=1}^{m} h \circ \gamma_{k-1} - (h \circ \gamma_{k-1}) \circ \alpha_{i_k}^{e_k}$. Notice also that $h - h \circ \alpha^{-1} = (-h \circ \alpha^{-1}) - (-h \circ \alpha^{-1}) \circ \alpha$. Thus, the terms $h \circ \gamma_{k-1} - (h \circ \gamma_{k-1}) \circ \alpha_{i_k}^{e_k}$ are each of the form either $H - H \circ \alpha_1$ or $H - H \circ \alpha_2$ for some $H \in L_r(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Hence, $h - h \circ \beta$ is of the form $h_1 - h_1 \circ \alpha_1 + h_2 - h_2 \circ \alpha_2$. □

Remark 4.2. It is also probably the case that there are two ergodic mappings τ_1, τ_2 of (X, β, p) on $\mathbb{T}^2$ with the same property as in Proposition 4.1. But an example is not known at this time.

This representation gives the following using the same notation.

Proposition 4.3. *There is a function $f \in L_r(\mathbb{T})$ which is an α_1-coboundary but not an α_2-coboundary.*

Proof. Consider the functions $f = h_1 - h_1 \circ \alpha_1$. If these are always α_2-coboundaries, then Proposition 4.1 shows that the mean-zero functions in $L_r(\mathbb{T}^2)$ would be exactly the α_2-coboundaries. This is impossible because the coboundaries with respect to a single map are always a first category subspace. □

We are interested in examples of pairs of maps that do not have a common coboundary in some Lebesgue space. The two maps α_1 and α_2 above seemed at first as good candidates to prove this. However, it turns out to actually be otherwise. Now, we have seen that some algebraic condition will ensure this, but the maps above do not satisfy any of those conditions. In fact, α_1 and α_2 generate the unimodular group; see Trott [28]. However, Proposition 4.1 can be used to prove the following.

Proposition 4.4. *The maps α_1 and α_2 have a non-trivial common coboundary in $L_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ with transfer functions in $L_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I}_\alpha = \{f \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^2) : f = f \circ \alpha\}$ and $\mathcal{D}_\alpha = \{g - g \circ \alpha : f \in L_2^0(\mathbb{T}^2)\}$. Then $\mathcal{I}_\alpha^\perp$ is the L_2-norm closure $\overline{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}$ of $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$. Also, $L_2(\mathbb{T}^2) = \mathcal{I}_\alpha + \overline{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}$. Consider the mapping $A : \mathcal{I}_{\alpha_1}^\perp \times \mathcal{I}_{\alpha_2}^\perp \to L_2^0(\mathbb{T}^2)$ by $A(h, g) = h - h \circ \alpha_1 + g - g \circ \alpha_2$. Then A would be a continuous linear map. It is onto from Proposition 4.1.

Assume that α_1 and α_2 have no non-trivial common coboundaries in $L_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ with transfer functions in $L_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. We claim that A is then one-to-one. Suppose $(h_0, g_0) \in \mathcal{I}_{\alpha_1}^\perp \times \mathcal{I}_{\alpha_2}^\perp$ such that $A(h_0, g_0) = 0$. That is, $h_0 - h_0 \circ \alpha_1 = -g_0 - (-g_0) \circ \alpha_2$. Thus, $H = h_0 - h_0 \circ \alpha_1$ is a common coboundary for α_1 and α_2, and so H must be zero. But then $h_0 \in \mathcal{I}_{\alpha_1}$ and $g_0 \in \mathcal{I}_{\alpha_2}$. Since $h_0 \in \mathcal{I}_{\alpha_1}^\perp$, we have $h_0 = 0$, and since $g_0 \in \mathcal{I}_{\alpha_2}^\perp$, we have $g_0 = 0$. Hence, (h_0, g_0) zero.

So if α_1 and α_2 have no non-trivial common coboundaries, then A is an isomorphism. Hence, if (h_n) is in $L_2^0(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and $h_n - h_n \circ \alpha_2$ converges in L_2-norm to H, we would have (h_n) converging in L_2-norm too and so there would be $h \in L_2^0(\mathbb{T}^2)$ such that $H = h - h \circ \alpha_2$. That is, $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_2}$ is L_2-norm closed. We claim this is not the case.

We can see that $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_2}$ is not L_2-norm closed as follows. It is clear that $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha_2}$ is all $f \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ such that for a.e. x, the function $f(x, y)$ is constant a.e. in y. But then $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha_2}^\perp$ consists of all functions $f \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ such that $f(x, y)$ is mean-zero in y for a.e. x. Now consider a mean-zero function $\phi \in L_2(\mathbb{T})$ which is not a τ_x-coboundary for any $x \in \mathbb{T}$ of infinite order; there are such functions that are in $C(\mathbb{T})$. See Remark 8.3. Now let $f(x, y) = \phi(y)$. Then $f \in \mathcal{I}_{\alpha_2}^\perp$ i.e. it is in the L_2-norm closure of $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_2}$. But if $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha_2}$ too, then $f(x, y) = \phi(y) = h(x, y) - h \circ \alpha_2(x, y) = h(x, y) - h(x, xy)$ for some $h \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Hence, for a.e. x, $h(x, y)$ is an $L_2(\mathbb{T})$ function in y, and ϕ would be a τ_x-coboundary with transfer function $H(y) = h(x, y) \in L_2(\mathbb{T})$. However, a.e. x is infinite order too, and ϕ cannot have this property with respect to any x of infinite order. □

Remark 4.5. We can extend this result using duals in the Lebesgue spaces. This would give, for any $1 \leq r < \infty$, a non-trivial common coboundary $H \in L_r(\mathbb{T}^2)$ with transfer functions in $L_r(\mathbb{T}^2)$ also. The argument does not work in $L_\infty(\mathcal{T}^2)$, so it is not clear if there is a non-trivial bounded common coboundary for α_1 and α_2 with transfer functions in $L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$.

Remark 4.6. The method above can give examples more easily if we switch to the generators α_1 and $\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2$ of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Then α_3 is ergodic so it is well-known that the coboundaries $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_3}$ is not L_2-norm closed. There may be a way of using the existence of non-trivial common

coboundaries for one pair of generators of the unimodular group to get the same thing for another pair of generators, but it is not clear at this time how to do this.

It is not obvious what can be said if one needs to use more than two toral automorphisms to write a given mean-zero function as a sum of coboundaries. But at least we can ask how to analyze other pairs of toral automorphisms. The same idea as above would work if the maps $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2$ are such that invariance with respect to these maps implies automatic continuity of the linear form. One expects this to be connected to the size the subgroup generated by α_1 and α_2. But it seems that the random pair $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2$ generates a thin group and so would be far from being obviously large enough for the arguments above to work.

5. Generic case for no common coboundaries

Suppose τ and σ are ergodic. To say there are not common coboundaries with transfer function in $L_r(X)$ would mean that if $f = h - h \circ \tau = g - g \circ \sigma$, for some $h, g \in L_r(X)$, then $f = 0$. That is, if $h - h \circ \tau + (-g) - (-g) \circ \sigma = 0$, and $h, g \in L_r(X)$ are mean-zero, then $h = g = 0$. Let $L^0_r(X)$ denote the mean-zero functions in $L_r(X)$. We see in this situation that the map $S(h, g) = h - h \circ \tau + g - g \circ \sigma$ from $L^0_r(X) \bigoplus L^0_r(X)$ to $L^0_r(X)$ is one-to-one.

Proposition 5.1. *For $1 < p \leq \infty$, the map S is one-to-one if and only if, with q the dual index to p, for all $\epsilon > 0$, and $K_1, K_2 \in L^0_q(X)$, there exists $H \in L_q(X)$ such that $\|H - H \circ \tau - K_1\|_q \leq \epsilon$ and $\|H - H \circ \sigma - K_2\|_q \leq \epsilon$. Also, S is one-to-one on $L_1(X)$ if for $K_1, K_2 \in L^0_\infty(X)$, there exists $H \in L_\infty(X)$ such that $H - H \circ \tau$ approximates K_1 in the weak* topology and simultaneously $H - H \circ \sigma$ approximates K_2 in the weak* topology.*

Proof. We have S one-to-one if and only whenever $\langle S(h, g), K\rangle = 0$ for all $K \in L_q(X)$, then $h = g = 0$. In the case that $1 \leq p < \infty$, this means that the dual operator S^* has dense range. But the dual operator S^* maps $L_q(X) \to L^0_q(X) \bigoplus L^0_q(X)$ by $S^*(H) = (H - H \circ \tau^{-1}, h - H \circ \sigma^{-1})$. In the case that $p = \infty$, we recognize that S is a dual operator to this same dual form, and so a similar argument can be used. The case of $p = 1$ is the same using the weak* topology in place of the norm topologies.

But also $h - h \circ \tau + g - g \circ \sigma = (-h \circ \tau) - (-h \circ \tau) \circ \tau^{-1} + (-g \circ \sigma) - (-g \circ \sigma) \circ \sigma^{-1}$, so S is one-to-one if and only if the similar mapping $T(h, g) = h - h \circ \tau^{-1} + g - g \circ \sigma^{-1}$ is one-to-one. The dual argument above applied to T gives the result. □

Remark 5.2. We would like to get a result for the biggest space $L_1(X)$, and one that allows measurable transfer functions. But the argument below does not carry that far at this time.

The dual property shows that τ and σ have no common coboundaries if and only if we can carry out the following two separate approximations. We state this for $1 \leq q < \infty$, but it works the same for $q = \infty$ using the weak* topology. The approximations are this: given $\epsilon > 0$, and $K_i \in L^0_q(X), i = 1, 2$ there exists $H_i \in L^0_q(X)$ such that a) $\|H_1 - H_1 \circ \tau - K_1\|_q \leq \epsilon$ and $\|H_1 - H_1 \circ \sigma\|_q \leq \epsilon$, and b) $\|H_2 - H_2 \circ \sigma - K_2\|_q \leq \epsilon$ and $\|H_2 - H_2 \circ \tau\|_q \leq \epsilon$. These would be necessary properties, and if they hold then taking $H = H_1 + H_2$ gives the needed function in Proposition 5.1.

An additional simplification is useful. We can reduce this joint approximation property to the case where the functions K_i are mean-zero and take only the values ± 1. Such functions span a dense subspace of $L^0_q(X)$ (in the weak* topology in the case that $q = \infty$). But then linearity of the approximation process here allows us to add approximate solutions and get the general approximation from that for these simpler functions K_i.

These remarks set up the following existence result. It is implicitly constructive, but does not give explicit, easily described examples.

Proposition 5.3. *The generic pair of maps (σ, τ) have no common boundaries with transfer functions in $L^0_r(X), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$.*

Proof. Assume first that $1 < p \leq \infty$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a countable set of functions taking only the values ± 1 which span a dense subspace of $L^0_q(X)$. Consider the set of pairs (σ, τ) such that for all $m \geq 1$ and $K \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists $H \in L_q(X)$ such that $\|H - H \circ \sigma\|_q < 1/m$ and $\|H - H \circ \tau - K\|_q < 1/m$. This set $\mathcal{E}_1$ is a countable intersection of open sets in $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}$. We will show that this is a dense set in $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}$. We can reverse the roles of σ and τ to prove the same thing for the corresponding set $\mathcal{E}_2$. But then the intersection $\mathcal{E}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}_2$ is a dense $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ set for pairs (σ, τ) for which both a) and b) hold. By Proposition 5.1 and the discussion above, this proves the result.

Now fix an ergodic, rank one map σ. We show that there is a dense G_δ set $\mathcal{E}_1(\sigma)$ consisting of maps τ such for all $\epsilon > 0$ and $K \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists $H \in L_q(X)$ such that $\|H - H \circ \sigma\|_q < \epsilon$ and $\|H - H \circ \tau - K\|_q < \epsilon$. If we take a countable dense set Σ of rank, one maps, then the set $\bigcap_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathcal{E}_1(\sigma)$ would again be a dense $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ set. But then using the density of this set and the choice of Σ being dense, this shows that $\mathcal{E}_1$ is a dense set.

So fix an ergodic, rank one map σ and a countable set $\mathcal{D}$ as above. Suppose $l \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{D}(l, \sigma)$ be all $H \in L_q(X)$ such that $\|H - H \circ \sigma\|_q < 1/l$. Consider the following set $\mathcal{G} = \bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{D}} \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{D}(l,\sigma)} \{\tau \in \mathcal{T} : \|H - H \circ \tau - K\|_q < 1/l\}$. The union here is a union of open sets in the weak topology on $\mathcal{T}$. So $\mathcal{G}$ is a G_δ set in $\mathcal{T}$. We claim it is dense because each $\bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{D}(l,\sigma)} \{\tau \in \mathcal{T} : \|H - H \circ \tau - K\|_q < 1/l\}$ is dense.

To see this density, it suffices to show that for any τ_0, $\epsilon > 0$ and $K \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists τ close to τ_0 in the weak topology, and there exists $H \in L_q(X)$, such that $\|H - H \circ \sigma\|_q \leq \epsilon$ and $\|H - H \circ \tau - K\|_q \leq \epsilon$.

Since σ is rank one, there is a Rokhlin tower $\mathcal{R}$ with levels $R_j, j = 1, \ldots, N$ for σ whose levels can be used to approximate any previously chosen measurable partition of X. We need only increase N and decrease $\epsilon = 1 - p(\bigcup_{j=1}^{N} R_j)$ to achieve this approximation to any desired degree of accuracy.

To get close to τ_0, we can proceed as follows. Take a weak neighborhood W of τ_0. Consider pairwise disjoint (P_l) and pairwise disjoint (Q_l) with $p(P_l) = p(Q_l)$ for all. Assume that for each l, both P_l and Q_l are equal to unions of (the same number) of levels R_j. There is such a choice of sets so that both (P_l) and (Q_l)) are close to being partitions of X, and so that if τ maps P_l to Q_l for all l, then $\tau \in W$. Indeed, we may assume that if τ just has $p(\tau(P_l) \Delta Q_l)$ sufficiently small for all l, then that suffices to guarantee that $\tau \in W$. By an additional approximation if needed, we may also assume without loss of generality that K is a constant a_l on the sets P_l for all, and the norm of K restricted to the complement of $\bigcup_{j=1}^{J} P_l$ is small. Note that by choice of the functions K being used, all a_l are either ± 1. Here it is worth observing that τ_0 does not necessarily (and probably does not) preserve the partition of P_l and Q_l into the levels R_j.

We partition each R_j into sets $R_{(j,i)}, i = 1, \ldots, M$ of equal measure. Here $p(R_j) = (1 - \epsilon)/N$ for all j, and $p(R_{(j,i)}) = (1 - \epsilon)/NM$ for each (j, i). We assume that these sets are chosen so

that for $j = 1, \ldots, N-1$ and $i = 1, \ldots, M$, $\sigma(R_{(j,i)}) = R_{(j+1,i)}$. We take as our function H a function which has the value i on $\bigcup_{j=1}^{J} R_{(j,i)}$. It is important that for a sufficiently tall Rokhlin tower, H is close to being σ-invariant. Actually, the error here for the σ-invariance is controlled by $\|H - H \circ \sigma\|_q^q \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{M} i^q/MN \leq 2M^q/N$.

Now we define τ as follows. Here is the basic idea, and first step in defining τ. Take τ to almost map P_1 to Q_1. But also, for $x \in P_1$, we want $H(x) - H(\tau(x)) = a_1$. Now P_l is a union of some $R_{(j_1,i)}, j_1 \in \mathcal{J}_1, i = 1, \ldots, M$, and Q_l is a union of some $R_{(j_2,i)}, j_2 \in \mathcal{J}_2, i = 1, \ldots, M$. Here J_1 and J_2 have the same number of terms because $p(P_1) = p(Q_1)$. Choose any bijection t of J_1 with J_2. If $a_1 = -1$, we take τ to be a map such that for all $j_1 \in J_1$, we have $\tau(R_{(j_1,i)}) = R_{(t(j_1),i+1)}$, except that for $i = M$ we leave τ undefined on all $R_{(j_1,M)}$. Note that this also leaves $R_{(j_1,1)}$ with $j_1 \in \mathcal{J}_1$ not in the defined range of τ for now. If $a_1 = 1$, we take τ to be measure-preserving such that for all $j_1 \in J_1$, we have $\tau(R_{(j_1,i)}) = R_{(t(j_1),i-1)}$, except that for $i = 1$ we leave τ undefined. This leaves $R_{(j,M)}$ with $j \in \mathcal{J}_1$ not in the defined range of τ for now. We continue this process in the same fashion through all of the pairs P_l, Q_l depending on the values $a_l = \pm 1$.

Because K is mean-zero, there are as many exceptions in the above where $i = M$ as where $i = 1$. That is, taking into account the number of j such that $R_{(j,i)} \subset P_l$, we have the same number of times that τ is not defined on $R_{(a,M)}$ as where τ does not have $R_{(b,M)}$ in its range. We take any one-to-one correspondence of these sets, and take τ to map $R_{(a,M)}$ to $R_{(b,M)}$ in a measure-preserving fashion. Since $H = M$ on these sets, we would have now τ defined and $H - H \circ \tau = 0$ on all the sets $R_{(a,M)}$. We can carry out the same process for the cases where τ is not defined on $R_{(a,1)}$ and where τ does not have $R_{(b,1)}$ in its range. These are not actually as important because H is small there. In any case, the result is that τ maps P_l to Q_l except for the relevant sets on the ends: $R_{(j,M)}$ or $R_{(j,1)}$. The error here is controlled by $N/MN = 1/M$. By choosing M sufficiently large, this will allow τ to be in W. But we know that H is almost σ-invariant, if N is large enough, and ϵ is small enough. Also, the choices of the shifts of the $R_{(j,i)}$ by τ makes it so that $H - H \circ \tau$ is almost equal to K in norm, by a factor controlled by $1/M$ also (since N is large and ϵ is small).

The case that $p = 1$ is handled similarly. Because we use the weak* topology, we can make approximations in the construction that would not be possible if we were using the L_∞-norm topology.

□

6. General cases

It is well-known that given a map τ, the τ-coboundaries are a set of first category. That is, the generic function is not a τ-coboundary. There is a dual version of this where the roles of f and τ are reversed.

Proposition 6.1. *For any non-zero mean-zero $f \in L_r(X)$, with $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, the maps τ for which f is not a τ-coboundary, with a transfer function in $L_1(X)$, are a dense G_δ set.*

Proof. Consider the set $\bigcup_{K=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\tau : \|S_n^\tau f\|_1 \leq K\}$. By Lin and Sine [20], this is the set of maps for which f is a coboundary. It is easy to see that $\{\tau : \|S_n^\tau f\|_1 \leq K\}$ is closed in the weak

topology. Indeed, for τ_s converging weakly to τ, and any f, $\|S_n^{\tau_s} f\|_1 \to \|S_n^{\sigma} f\|_1$ as $s \to \infty$. Hence, clearly $\{\tau : \|S_n^{\tau} f\|_1 \leq K\}$ and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\tau : \|S_n^{\tau} f\|_r \leq K\}$ are closed in the weak topology.

Now let us show that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\tau : \|S_n^{\tau} f\|_1 \leq K\}$ has no interior. Suppose otherwise. Then there is a rank one map τ_0 and a non-trivial weak neighborhood of τ_0 contained in $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\tau : \|S_n^{\tau} f\|_r \leq K\}$. So there exists $A_1, \ldots, A_m \in \beta$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that the weak neighborhood contains an open set O of the form $\{\tau : p(\tau A_i \Delta \tau_0 A_i) < \epsilon, i = 1, \ldots, m\}$.

We will be choosing $\delta_i > 0, i = 1, 2$ below to give us certain estimates. First, there is a set $H, p(H) > 0$ and $L > 0$ such that $f \geq L$ on H. Let $H_0 \subset H$ with $p(H_0) = \delta_1 > 0$. Now for any N and $\delta_2 > 0$, we can construct a Rokhlin tower $\mathcal{T} = \{B_1, \ldots, B_N\}$ for τ_0 such that $p(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} B_i) \geq 1 - \delta_2$.

Let σ be the usual corresponding map, but take σ to map the top level of the levels at the bottom giving H_0 into the first level so that $\sigma(H_0) = H_0$. Also, take σ to map the top level of $\mathcal{B}_0$ into the level above the stack at the bottom giving H_0. If δ_1 and δ_3 are sufficiently small (i.e. N is large enough, and $\delta_i, i = 1, 2$ are small enough), then the resulting σ is in $\mathcal{O}$ and hence in $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\tau : \|S_n^{\tau} f\|_1 \leq K\}$.

We have $\|S_n^{\sigma} f\|_1 \geq \int_{H_0} |\sum_{k=1}^{n} f \circ \sigma^k| \, dp \geq nL\delta_1$. But then $\|S_n^{\sigma} f\|_1$ can be made larger than $2K$ if n is large enough. This contradicts $\sigma \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\tau : \|S_n^{\tau} f\|_1 \leq K\}$. □

Remark 6.2. This result gives information about the behavior of the ergodic averages $A_n^{\tau} f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f \circ \tau^k$. We have $\|A_n^{\tau} f\|_r = O(1/n)$ exactly when f is a τ-coboundary. This gives a good rate of convergence in the mean for the ergodic averages. Is there a (dense) class of functions which satisfies this estimate for all τ? The answer is negative because Proposition 6.1 shows that there is not even one non-zero, mean-zero function $f \in L_r(X)$ which satisfies such an estimate (except possibly for a set first category set of maps).

Remark 6.3. We should also be able to prove a generic result like Proposition 6.1 where the transfer function is allowed to be just measurable. The statement would be: Given $f \in L_1(X)$, for the generic map τ, there is no measurable h such that $f = h - h \circ \tau$. To prove this, we would use a result of K. Schmidt: f is a τ-coboundary if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive real number A such that for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|S_n^{\tau} f(x)| \leq A$ for all x in a set E_n of measure at least $1 - \epsilon$. See Schmidt [27]. So the maps with this property form a subset of $\bigcup_{A=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\sigma : p\{|A_n^{\sigma} f| \leq A\} \geq \gamma\}$ for each $\gamma > 0$. The anticipation is that this set is first category because the closed sets $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\sigma : p\{|A_n^{\sigma} f| \leq A\} \geq \gamma\}$ have no interior for any $\gamma > 0$. However, to date, we have not been able to prove this. There is a similarity of this problem with the Baggett problem for rotations. If one tries to write down the class here using a countable avatar for γ, then the natural description of the class is not a G_δ set.

Remark 6.4. It would be worthwhile to have a result like Schmidt's Theorem, but one that applies to $f \in L_r(X)$ being a τ-coboundary with transfer function in $L_s(X)$ in the cases, for example, where $0 < s < 1$ and $s \leq r$.

7. **Joint under powers**

Consider a function $f \in L_\infty(\mathbb{T})$ of the form $f(\gamma) = \gamma^k$ for some fixed k. Then for any rotation τ of $\mathbb{T}$, say $\tau(\gamma) = \alpha\gamma$, we would have $f \circ \tau = \alpha^k f$. So f is an eigenfunction. If $\alpha^k \neq 1$, then for a suitable constant c, we have $cf = f - f \circ \tau$. Hence, f is a τ-coboundary. Thus, if α is infinite order and $k \neq 0$, this shows how a function f can be a common coboundary for all powers τ^l with $l \in \mathbb{Z}, l \neq 0$.

We can give examples of this same phenomenon with τ does not have discrete spectrum.

Proposition 7.1. *There exists τ strongly mixing and a non-zero mean-zero $f \in L_2(\mathbb{T})$ such that f is a τ^k-coboundary for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0$.*

Proof. For $m \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{R}_m$ be the m-th roots of unity. Let p be normalized Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}$. Let V_m be an open neighborhood of $\mathcal{R}_m$ such that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p(V_m) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Let $K = \mathbb{T} \setminus \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} V_m$. Then K is closed and $p(K) > 0$. So there is a positive Borel probability measure ν on K which is absolutely continuous with respect to p; hence, $\widehat{\nu}$ is in $C_0(\mathbb{Z})$ by the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma. Using the GMC, there exists a strongly mixing transformation τ on $(X, \mathcal{B}, m)$ and $f_0 \in L_2(X)$ such that $\widehat{\nu}(k) = \langle f_0, f_0 \circ \tau^k \rangle$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. That is, $\nu = \nu_{f_0}^{\tau}$.

We will use the spectral measure dE associated with the Koopman operator given by τ. We consider the function $f \in L_2(X)$ given by $f = \int_K dE(\lambda)$. Fix $m \neq 0$. Because K is disjoint from a neighborhood of $\mathcal{R}_m$, we can define $F \in L_2(X)$ by $\int_K \frac{1}{1-\lambda^m} dE(\lambda)$. Then $F - F \circ \tau^m = \int_K \frac{1}{1-\lambda^m} dE(\lambda) - \int_K \frac{1}{1-\lambda^m} \lambda^m dE(\lambda) = \int_K dE(\lambda) = f$. Hence, for all $m \neq 0$, $f = F - F \circ \tau^m$ for some $F \in L_2(X)$. □

8. Various constructions

We conjecture that we can generally write any mean-zero function as a coboundary (possibly with a rank-one map).

Conjecture: Given a mean-zero $f \in L_r(X), 1 \leq r \leq \infty$, there exists an ergodic map τ of X such that f is a τ-coboundary with a transfer function in $L_r(X)$.

In section 11, we show that any step function is a coboundary for some ergodic map. A general construction is given without the need for cutting and stacking. In the final section 12, we use cutting and stacking to prove that any bounded measurable function, which is not a finite step function, is a coboundary for a weak mixing transformation. It is known that any transformation with a 2-step nonzero coboundary is not weak mixing. It is not known if this is true for functions with 3-steps. Our examples in section 11 are not weak mixing.

8.1. **Eigenvalues of two-step functions.** Given f, there are some inherent restrictions on τ if f is a τ-coboundary. Isaac Kornfeld pointed out that sometimes τ cannot be weakly mixing. For example, if $f = 1_E - p(E)$ and $f = h - h \circ \tau$, then $\exp(2\pi i f) = \exp(2\pi i p(E)) = \exp(2\pi i h) \exp(-2\pi i h \circ \tau)$. Hence, if $H = \exp(2\pi i h)$, we have $H \circ \tau = \exp(2\pi i p(E)) H$. So, τ is not weakly mixing. Indeed, if $p(E)$ is rational, then τ is not even totally ergodic. Also, suppose $f = \sum_{k=1}^{K} m_k 1_{E_k}$ with $m_k \in \mathbb{Z}, k = 1, \ldots, K$, and with $c = \sum_{k=1}^{K} m_k p(E_k) \in (0, 1)$ being

a rational number. Then when $f - \int f\,dp$ is a τ-coboundary, we would have τ having a non-trivial eigenvalue $\exp(2\pi ic)$ which is a root of unity, and hence again τ is not totally ergodic, and certainly not weakly mixing.

8.2. **Oxtoby-Ulam measure space.** Here is another type of restriction. Consider a compact metric space X and an Oxtoby-Ulam measure on it. Then construct an open dense set U of small measure $p(U)$. Let $f = 1_U - p(U)$. Then $f \in L_\infty(X)$ is mean-zero. But it is easy to see that for any p preserving homeomorphism τ, $\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} f \circ T^k\|_\infty \geq n(1 - p(U))$. So f is not a τ-coboundary for such maps. This can be extended to the case where one has a compact metric space X and a non-atomic probability measure p on X. Then one can similarly construct a mean-zero bounded function which is not a coboundary for any τ which is a homeomorphism preserving p. This shows that on the circle $\mathbb{T}$ there are mean-zero bounded functions that are not coboundaries with respect to any rotation. The arguments below give a better result via Fourier analysis.

8.3. **Fourier technique.** There are also Fourier analytic versions of this, which again gives negative results. For example, it is well-known that despite the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma, given any $\rho_n > 0$, with $\lim_{n\to\infty} \rho_n = 0$, there exists a mean-zero $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$ such that $|\widehat{f}(n)| \geq \rho_n$ for all n. Suppose we take $\rho_n = \log n/n$. Take any ergodic rotation τ_α of $\mathbb{T}$. We claim that f is not a τ_α-coboundary with a transfer function in $L_1(\mathbb{T})$. Indeed, if this were so, then $|\widehat{f}(n)|/(1 - \exp(2\pi in\alpha))$ would be bounded. But we can choose a rational number $q = m/n$ such that $|q - \alpha| \leq 1/q^2$. Hence, $|n\alpha - m| \leq 1/n$. But then for these values of n, we have $|\widehat{f}(n)|/(1 - \exp(2\pi in\alpha)) \geq \log n$, which is not bounded.

What about with continuous functions? See de Leuuw, Katznelson, Kahane [9]. They show that given $(a_n) \in \ell_2$, there exists a continuous function h whose Fourier transform goes to zero slower than $|a_n|$. Suppose we take $a_n = \log n/n$. Take any ergodic rotation τ_α of $\mathbb{T}$. We claim that h is not a τ_α-coboundary with a transfer function in $L_1(\mathbb{T})$. Indeed, if this were so, then $|\widehat{h}(n)|/(1 - \exp(2\phi n\alpha))$ would be bounded. then proceed as above using diophantine approximation by rational numbers.

There is an open problem in this context. See Baggett, Medina, and Merrill and [2] and Baggett [3]. The question is: given $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ which is not a trigonometric polynomial, is the set of rotations of $\mathbb{T}$ for which f is a coboundary with some measurable transfer function necessarily of first category? This remains unsolved still. However, if the transfer function is supposed to be continuous too, then this holds. Indeed, more generally they show that $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$ is a coboundary with transfer function in $L_1(\mathbb{T})$ for at most a first category set of rotations of $\mathbb{T}$. Clearly, this result bears a resemblance to the result in Proposition 6.1.

The discussion above suggests the interesting question: given a compact Hausdorff space X with a non-atomic probability p on X, is there always a mean-zero continuous function h on X which is not a τ-coboundary with respect to any uniquely ergodic mapping τ of X?

8.4. **Non-measurable solutions.** On the other hand, take any function f on the integers. Then define another function h as follows: $h(0) = 0$, and for all $k \geq 1$, $h(k) = h(k-1) - f(k-1)$, and $h(-k) = h(-k+1) + f(-k)$. Then h is well defined and $f(k) = h(k) - h(k+1)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now take a function F on a probability space $(X, \mathcal{B}, p)$ and an invertible map τ of X. For each fixed x, let $f(k) = F(\tau^k x)$. Take the associated h above and let $H(\tau^k x) = h(k)$ for all

k. Then we have $F(\tau^k x) = H(\tau^k x) - H(\tau^{k+1}x)$ for all k. That is, for any y in the orbit $\{\tau^k x : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, we have $F(y) = H(y) - H \circ \tau(y)$.

We can repeat this construction on each orbit. In this way, we get a function H such that $F = H - H \circ \tau$ on all of X.

However, to write X as a disjoint union of orbits, we generally need to use the Axiom of Choice. The resulting equation gives H but H might not be measurable. For example, if we write $1 = H - H \circ \tau$, then inherently this implies the existence of a choice set E with one point from each orbit. Any such E is not measurable if τ is measure preserving. It also follow that H cannot be measurable. See Anosov [1] for a general version of this phenomenon: if f is integrable and a τ-coboundary with respect to a measurable transfer function, then f must be mean-zero.

9. Constructions that are not coboundaries

It is well-known that if we fix τ, then the coboundaries are a set of first category. Here is a general version of this when the transfer function is just measurable. See Rozhdestvenskii [26].

Proposition 9.1. *Assume τ is ergodic. The generic function $f \in L_r(X), 1 \leq r \leq \infty$ is not a τ-coboundary with a measurable transfer function.*

Proof. We use Schmidt [27] again. We see that the τ-coboundaries are a subset of $\bigcup_{A=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f \in L_r(X) : p\{|S_n^\tau f| \leq A\} \geq 1/2\}$. This is a countable union of L_r-topology closed sets because each $\mathcal{B}_n(A) = \{f \in L_r(X) : p\{|S_n^\tau f| \leq A\} \geq 3/4\}$ is closed in the L_r-topology. We claim that $\mathcal{B}(A) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n(A)$ has no interior. If this intersection has interior, then there exists $f_0 \in \mathcal{B}(A)$ and $\delta > 0$, such that (at least) for all mean-zero $f \in L_1(X)$ with $|f| \leq 1$, we would have $f_0 + \delta f \in \mathcal{B}(A)$. Use the Rokhlin Lemma to construct a mean-zero function $f = \pm 1$ on a set of measure at least $1 - \epsilon$, such that $f \circ \tau = f$ also on a set of measure at least $1 - 2\epsilon$. This is how we can guarantee that $|S_n^\tau f| = n$ a set of measure at least $1 - 4n\epsilon$. But $\delta |S_n^\tau f| \leq |S_n^\tau (f_0 + \delta f)| + |S_n^\tau (f_0)| \leq 2A$ on a set of measure at least $1/2$. So take first n such that $n > 2A/\delta$ and then take ϵ so that $1 - 4n\epsilon > 3/4$. Then on a set of positive measure, we have $2A < \delta n = \delta |S_n^\tau f| \leq 2A$, which is not possible. □

Remark 9.2. a) There are various coboundary subspaces one can consider here. For example, there is the usual $\mathcal{C}_{(r,r)} = \{h - h \circ \tau : h \in L_r(X)\}$. Since $L_r(X)$ is first category in $L_s(X)$ for any $r > s \geq 0$, it is clear that these subspaces are all different. We could also consider $\mathcal{C}_{(r,s)} = \{f \in L_r(X) : f = h - h \circ \tau, h \in L_s(X)\}$. These spaces are probably all distinct.

b) For example, if τ is ergodic, to show $C_{(1,0)}$ is different than $C_{(1,1)}$, we would need to construct $f \in L_1(X)$ such that f is a τ-coboundary with a measurable transfer function h that is not integrable. Here is one such construction. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a Rokhlin tower $\{E_n, \ldots, \tau^{n-1}E_n\}$ for τ of height n with base E_n, and such that $p(E_n) = (1/2)/n$. Take a portion $D_n \subset E_n$ with $p(D_n) = (1/2)/n^3$. Let $h_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 1_{\tau^k D_n}$. Let $H = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{3/2} h_n$. Then H is a measurable function with $\|H\|_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{3/2}(n(1/2)/n^3) = \infty$. But also if $H_N = \sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{3/2} h_n$, then $H_N \to H$ a.e. because each h_n is supported on $\bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \tau^k D_n$, and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(\bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \tau^k D_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n(1/2)/n^3 < \infty$. Also,

this shows that H is finite a.e. Thus, $f = H - H \circ \tau^{-1}$ is the limit a.e. of the coboundaries $H_N - H_N \circ \tau^{-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{3/2}(1_{D_n} - 1_{\tau^n D_n})$. We have for all N,

$$|H_N - H_N \circ \tau^{-1}| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{3/2}(1_{D_n} + 1_{\tau^n D_n}).$$

Hence,

$$\| \sup_{N \geq 1} |H_N - H_N \circ \tau^{-1}| \|_1 \leq \| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{3/2}(1_{D_n} + 1_{\tau^n D_n}) \|_1 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2n^{3/2}(1/2)/n^3 < \infty.$$

So, the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem shows that $f \in L_1(X)$. Let $h = -H \circ \tau^{-1}$; then $f = h - h \circ \tau$. Here h is measurable, finite a.e., and not integrable. So $f \in C_{(1,0)} \backslash C_{(1,1)}$.

The category statement in Proposition 9.1, shows only indirectly, and not concretely, how to construct functions that are not coboundaries. For this reason, it is worthwhile to have a better understanding of how to construct directly functions that are not coboundaries. Here is a simple, basic example.

Suppose we construct a set E such that $p(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \tau^{-k} E) < 1$ for all n. Let $F = X \backslash E$. Then consider $f = 1_F - p(F)$. This f is a bounded, mean-zero function. For any n, $S_n^\tau f = n - np(F)$ on $\bigcap_{k=1}^{n} \tau^{-k} F$. Since $p(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \tau^{-k} E) < 1$, we have $p(\bigcap_{k=1}^{n} \tau^{-k} F) > 0$ for all n. Hence, $\|S_n^\tau f\|_\infty \geq np(E)$ and so f is not a τ-coboundary with transfer function in $L_\infty(X)$.

There are many ways to construct such a set E. Some of these are not explicit, which would spoil the intent of this discussion. For example,

Proposition 9.3. *The generic set E has $p(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \tau^{-k} E) < 1$ for all n*

Proof. We consider the measurable sets $\mathcal{B}$ in the usual symmetry pseudo-metric. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is a complete-metric space up to sets of measure zero. Consider the class $\mathcal{A}$ of such sets that do not have the property above. Let $\mathcal{A}_n = \{A \in \mathcal{B} : p(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \tau^{-k} A) = 1\}$. Then $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$. But clearly $\mathcal{A}_n$ is closed in the topology on $\mathcal{B}$. It also does not have interior. Indeed, given $A \in \mathcal{A}_n$ and $\epsilon > 0$, choose $E_n, p(E_n) > 0$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} np(E_n) \leq \epsilon$. Then let $A_0 = A \backslash \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \tau^k E_n \right)$. We have $A_0 \subset A$, and $p(A_0) \geq p(A) - \epsilon$. But also, $\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \tau^{-k} A_0 \subset X \backslash E_n$ for all n. So $A_0 \notin \mathcal{A}_n$. $\square$

The proof of Proposition 9.3 shows how to construct a particular set $E \notin \mathcal{A}$. We just take $E = X \backslash \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \tau^k E_n \right)$. So we even can arrange that $p(E) \geq 1 - \epsilon$. If we replace X here by A, then because ϵ is arbitrary, this is simple process is showing that the class of sets $\mathbb{B} \backslash \mathcal{A}$ is dense in $\mathbb{B}$, which of course also follows from proposition.

Remark 9.4. If we cite the Jewett-Krieger Theorem [16, 19], we can use the construction in Remark 8.2 to get our explicit function that is not a coboundary. Indeed, this theorem says that $(X, \mathcal{B}, p, \tau)$ is isomorphic to $(C, \mathcal{B}, \lambda, T)$ where C is a Cantor set, λ is Lebesgue measure, and τ is a λ-preserving, uniquely ergodic homeomorphism of C. This shows that up to the isomorphism, the construction in Remark 8.2 gives us bounded, mean-zero functions that are not τ-coboundaries.

The constructions above raise the question of how slowly we can arrange $p(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n}\tau^{-k}A)$ to grow. In fact, we can get this to grow as slowly as we like. To show this we will use this consequence of the Rokhlin Lemma. This lemma was also an important feature in some of the arguments in del Junco and Rosenblatt [8]; see the corresponding lemma in this paper.

Lemma 9.5. *Suppose $\epsilon > 0$, $0 < \delta < 1$, and $n \geq 1$. Then there is a set $A \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $p(A) = \delta$, and $p\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{n}\tau^{-k}A \cap A\right) \geq (1-\epsilon)p(A)$.*

Proposition 9.6. *Suppose $0 < \epsilon_n < 1/2$ and $\epsilon_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then there exists E such that $p(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n}\tau^{-k}E) \leq 1-\epsilon_n$ for all n.*

Proof. We will construct (N_m) increasing and $\delta_m > 0$ with certain properties. First, choose $\delta_1 \geq 2\epsilon_n$ for all n and so that $\gamma = 1-2\delta_1 > 0$. Let $N_1 = 1$. Choose $N_2 > 1$ sufficiently large so that if $\delta_2 = \max_{n\geq N_2} 2\epsilon_n \leq \gamma/4$. Continue inductively choosing $N_{m+1} > N_m$ so that $\max_{n\geq N_{m+1}} 2\epsilon_n \leq \gamma/2^{2(m+1)}$ for $m \geq 2$. Now choose A_m such that $p(A_m) = \delta_m$ and $p\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{N_{m+1}}\tau^{-k}A_m \cap A_m\right) \geq (1/2)p(A_m)$. Let $E = X\backslash\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty}A_m\right)$. We have $p(E) \geq \gamma/2$. Choose $M \geq 1$. Then there is a unique $m \geq 1$ so that $N_m \leq M < N_{m+1}$. Also,

$$1 - p(\bigcup_{k=1}^{M}\tau^{-k}E) \geq \bigcap_{k=1}^{N_{m+1}}\tau^{-k}A_M \geq (1/2)p(A_m) \geq (1/2)\delta_m \geq \epsilon_M.$$

□

Proposition 9.7. *Let τ be ergodic and let $\rho_n/n \to 0$, there exists a mean-zero $f \in L_\infty(X)$ such that $\|S_n^\tau f\|_1 \geq \rho_n$ for large enough n.*

Proof. Take $\epsilon_n \to 0$ with $\epsilon_n \geq 4\rho_n/n$ for large enough n, and such that $\epsilon_1 \leq 3/4$. Take E as in Proposition 9.6. Note that $p(E) \leq 3/4$. Consider $F = X\backslash E$, and let $f = 1_F - p(F)$. We have $p(F) \geq 1/4$. Then $\|S_n^\tau f\|_1 \geq np(F)p\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n}\tau^{-k}(X\backslash E)\right) \geq n\epsilon_n/4 \geq \rho_n/$ for large enough n. □

Remark 9.8. Let τ be ergodic. Then the argument above gives an explicit, non-category construction, of a bounded mean-zero function which is not a τ-coboundary with an integrable transfer function. For example, just take $\rho_n = \sqrt{n}$.

Remark 9.9. Here is a more complicated argument that turns out to be more general too. Using Lemma 9.5, we can construct A_n with $p(A_n) = 1/2$ such that

$$p\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{n}\tau^{-k}A_n \cap A_n\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}p(A_n).$$

Consider the function $f_n = 1_{A_n} - 1/2$. We have $f_n \in L_\infty(X)$, f_n is mean-zero, and $\|f_n\|_1 = \|f_n\|_\infty = 1/2$ because $|f_n| = 1/2$ on X. Also, $\|S_n^\tau f\|_1 \geq (n/2)p\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{n}\tau^{-k}A_n\right) \geq (n/4)p(A_n) = n/8$. This type of unboundedness is characteristic of what is needed to construct functions with unbounded norms for the associated cocycles.

First, we take $\epsilon_m > 0$ with $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_m \leq 1$ and let $f = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_m f_{n_m}$ for suitable (n_m). This gives $f \in L_\infty(X)$ which is mean-zero and has $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1/2$. Now, we can estimate $\|S^\tau_{n_m} f\|_1$ and arrange that this be unbounded. As a result, f is a bounded, mean-zero function which is not a τ-coboundary in any $L_r(X), 1 \leq r \leq \infty$. The estimate we use is

$$\|S^\tau_{n_m} f\|_1 \geq \|S^\tau_{n_m}(\epsilon_m f_m)\|_1 - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \|S^\tau_{n_m}(\epsilon_k f_{n_k})\|_1 - \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \|S^\tau_{n_m}(\epsilon_k f_{n_k})\|_1$$

$$\geq (\epsilon_m/8) n_m - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_k \|S^\tau_{n_m} f_{n_k}\|_1 - \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} (\epsilon_k/2) n_m.$$

We can arrange that $\epsilon_k \to 0$ fast enough for $\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} (\epsilon_k/2) \leq \epsilon_m/32$. A quickly enough growing geometric series will work for this estimate. But then with that sequence fixed, we can also arrange that n_m is chosen sufficiently large that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_k \|S^\tau_{n_m} f_{n_k}\|_1 \leq (\epsilon_m/32) n_m$$

by using the Mean Ergodic Theorem. This step is not as explicit as one might like. But now we have $\|S^\tau_{n_m} f\|_1 \geq (\epsilon_m/16) n_m$ for all $m \geq 1$. So for sufficiently quickly growing (n_m), e.g. n_m larger than $1/\epsilon_m^2$ in addition to the other constraints on (n_m), we would have $\|S^\tau_{n_m} f\|_1$ unbounded and therefore f is not a τ-coboundary.

With a little more attention to the estimates, the method in Remark 9.9 can give a mean-zero, bounded function which is not even a τ-coboundary if we allow the use of measurable transfer functions. The series argument used in this construction is the usual one used when one does not want to use a Baire category argument, like the one in Proposition 9.1, to construct examples.

10. First Category

In this section, we prove that given any mean zero measurable function f that is not identically zero, the set of ergodic measure preserving transformations τ such that f is a τ-coboundary with a measurable transfer function is of first category. We show the collection of such τ is contained in the complement of a dense G_δ set in the weak topology.

It is sufficient to prove this for the case in which $X = [0, 1)$ is the unit interval equipped with its Borel subsets $\mathcal{B}$, and μ is Lebesgue measure. Let Φ be the set of invertible measure preserving transformations of $([0, 1), \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ endowed with the weak topology. Let $E_1, E_2, \ldots$ be a countable sequence of measurable sets generating $\mathcal{B}$. Define the distance between transformations $\phi, \psi \in \Phi$ by

$$d(\phi, \psi) \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \left[\, \mu(\phi E_i \triangle \psi E_i) \;+\; \mu(\phi^{-1} E_i \triangle \psi^{-1} E_i) \, \right].$$

It follows from standard results [13] that (Φ, d) is a complete metric space, and that the topology generated by $d(.,.)$ coincides with the weak topology on Φ.

For each $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\eta > 0$, define the set

$$G_K(f, \eta) = \{\tau \in \Phi : \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \mu\{x : |S^\tau_n f(x)| > K\} > \eta\}.$$

Lemma 10.1. *Given a nonconstant measurable function f, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $G_K(f,\eta)$ is a dense subset of Φ for each $K \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let τ be any e.m.p.t. on X. Choose $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that $\mu(\{x \in X : f(x) > \alpha\}) > \beta$ and $\mu(\{x \in X : f(x) < -\alpha\}) > \beta$. Let $B_1 = \{x \in X : f(x) > \alpha\}$ and $B_2 = \{x : f(x) < -\alpha\}$. Let $\delta > 0$. Choose $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and sets $F_1, F_2 \in \bigvee_{i=1}^{k_1} E_i$ such that $\mu(B_j \triangle F_j) < \delta$ for $j = 1, 2$. Given $L > 0$, choose $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there exist $F_3, F_4 \in \bigvee_{i=1}^{k_2} E_i$ such that $\mu(B_1 \cap F_3) > (1-\delta)\mu(F_3)$ and $\mu(B_2 \cap F_4) > (1-\delta)\mu(F_4)$ and $\mu(F_3) > \beta/(L+1)$ and $\mu(F_4) > \beta/(L+1)$ and $\mu(F_3) < \beta/L$ and $\mu(F_4) < \beta/L$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n > N$

$$\mu(\{x : |\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} I_{F_3}(\tau^i x) - \mu(F_3)| > \delta\mu(F_3)\}) < \delta$$

and

$$\mu(\{x : |\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} I_{F_4}(\tau^i x) - \mu(F_4)| > \delta\mu(F_4)\}) < \delta.$$

Let $h = 2N/\alpha$. Choose a Rohklin tower for τ of height h. Consider orbits of length $H = N/\alpha$ that begin in the bottom half of the Rohklin tower. We will map these points in the orbit of τ that fall in F_3 to points in the orbit that fall in F_4. This produces a new e.m.p.t. σ. Since the sets F_3 and F_4 have small measure, σ will be close to τ in the weak topology. Also, since h is sufficiently large, enough points fall in F_3 and F_4 such that the resulting $||S_H^\sigma f||_1$ will be large. Thus, with the correct choice of the parameters, then $\sigma \in G_K(f,\eta)$. Hence, $G_K(f,\eta)$ is dense in Φ. □

Lemma 10.2. *For any nonconstant measurable function f, for $\eta > 0$ and $K \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $G_K(f,\eta)$ is open in Φ.*

Theorem 10.3. *Given a mean zero, non-identically zero function f, there exists a dense G_δ subset G of Φ such that f is not a coboundary for each $\tau \in G$ with measurable transfer function.*

Proof. Let f be a nonconstant measurable function on X. By Lemma 10.1, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $G_K(f,\eta)$ is dense for $K \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 10.2, $G_K(f,\eta)$ is open in Φ. Thus,

$$G = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k(f,\eta)$$

is a dense G_δ subset of Φ and f is not a coboundary for each $\tau \in G$ with a measurable transfer function. □

11. Finite Step Function Coboundaries

In the following sections, we show that any bounded measurable mean-zero function defined on $(X, \mathcal{B}, p)$ may be realized as a coboundary for an ergodic map with bounded measurable transfer function. It is well known that mean-zero step functions with 2 steps are coboundaries for either an irrational rotation, or for a transformation with discrete spectrum. If the base of one of the steps has measure λ, then any transformation with this coboundary must have λ as an eigenvalue, and cannot be weak mixing. See Section 8

In this section, we extend this to show any finite step function may be realized as a coboundary to either an ergodic translation on a torus, a discrete spectrum transformation, or a finite extension of an ergodic translation. Also, this result may be extended to bounded countable step functions.

In the final section, we show any bounded measurable function that is not a countable step function may be realized as a coboundary for a weak mixing transformation with bounded transfer function. The weak mixing transformation is constructed iteratively using cutting and stacking. Also, the transfer function is constructed directly.

Theorem 11.1. *Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, p)$ be a Lebesgue probability space and m a positive integer. Suppose f is a measurable, mean-zero function with m steps. In other words, the function f is of the form: $f = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i I_{A_i}$. If the real numbers $p(A_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m-1$ are rationally independent, then f is a coboundary for an ergodic transformation isomorphic to a translation on the $(m-1)$-dimensional torus.*

Proof: For $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, let $\alpha_i = p(A_i)$ and $a_i = f(x)$ for $x \in A_i$. Define $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m)$. Given a point $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, let j be the minimum index such that $x_j + \alpha_j \geq x_i + \alpha_i$ for $i \neq j$, and define $\tau_\alpha(x) = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_m)$ where $y_j = x_j + \alpha_j - 1$ and $y_i = x_i + \alpha_i$ for $i \neq j$. Let Y be the closure of the orbit of the origin under τ_α. Thus, $Y = \overline{\{\tau_\alpha^i(0) : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}}$. The set Y is a closed subset of the hyperplane passing through the origin: $\{x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_m = 1 : (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$. The transformation τ_α restricted to Y is isomorphic to rotation by $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{m-1})$ on the $(m-1)$-dimensional torus. Note, this is also isomorphic to rotation by $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i+1}, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Let ν be normalized $(m-1)$-dimensional Lebesgue measure on Y.

For each j, $1 \leq j \leq m$, let

$$B_j = \{(x_i) \in Y : y_j = x_j + \alpha_j - 1, (y_i) = \tau_\alpha(x_i)\}.$$

It is clear that $p(B_j) = \alpha_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Let $\phi : X \to Y$ be an invertible map such that $\nu(\phi(A_i) \triangle B_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. The following step function f_Y is a coboundary for the ergodic transformation τ_α:

$$f_Y(x) = f(\phi^{-1}x).$$

To see this, first observe: for $(x_i) \in Y$, $x_i > -1$, and hence $x_i < m - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Fix $x = (x_i) \in Y$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$p_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{B_j}(\tau_\alpha^i(x)).$$

The number p_j corresponds to the number of times we subtract 1 when iterating with τ_α. Thus,

$$|x_j + n\alpha_j - p_j| < m - 1$$

and hence

$$|n\alpha_j - p_j| < 2m.$$

Therefore,

$$|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_Y(\tau_\alpha^i x)| = |\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j I_{B_j}(\tau_\alpha^i x)| \tag{11.1}$$

$$= |\sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j p_j| = |n\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j \frac{p_j}{n}| \tag{11.2}$$

$$\leq n|\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j(\frac{p_j}{n} - \alpha_j)| + n|\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j\alpha_j| \tag{11.3}$$

$$< n\sum_{j=1}^{m} |a_j|\frac{2m}{n} = 2m\sum_{j=1}^{m} |a_j|. \tag{11.4}$$

By [20], f_Y is a coboundary for τ_α with an $L_\infty(X)$ transfer function h. Therefore, $h \circ \phi$ is the transfer function for coboundary f and ergodic transformation $\phi^{-1} \circ \tau_\alpha \circ \phi$. □

Theorem 11.2. *Suppose f is a measurable finite step mean-zero function. Then f is a coboundary for an ergodic map τ in one of the following categories:*

1. *τ is a transformation with discrete spectrum;*
2. *τ is a product of rotations;*
3. *τ is a finite extension of a product of rotations.*

Proof: Suppose $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} a_i I_{A_i}(x)$ where m is a positive integer, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m+1$, A_i are disjoint, $p(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m+1} A_i) = 1$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} a_i p(A_i) = 0$.

Case (1): If $p(A_i)$ is rational for $1 \leq i \leq m+1$, then f is a coboundary for an ergodic map with discrete spectrum. Let q be a positive integer such that $qp(A_i)$ is a positive integer for $1 \leq i \leq m+1$. Partition each A_i into sets of width $1/q$ for $1 \leq i \leq m+1$. Stack the sets into a single column C_0 of height q. Then cut & stack this column repeatedly without adding spacers to produce a rank-one transformation with discrete spectrum. By properly assigning constant values for the transfer function g on the levels of C_0, we get that $f = g - g \circ \tau$.

Case (2): If $p(A_i)$ are rationally independent for $1 \leq i \leq m$, then Theorem 11.1 proves that f is a coboundary for an ergodic product of rotations.

Case (3): Suppose the set $\{p(A_i) : 1 \leq i \leq m+1\}$ contains a non-trivial rationally independent subset, but $p(A_i)$ are rationally dependent for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Let $\beta_i = p(A_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m+1$. Suppose there exist rationals p_i/q_i for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ such that

$$\beta_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{p_i}{q_i}\beta_i$$

and β_i for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ are rationally independent. For $1 \leq i \leq m+1$, let $\alpha_i = \beta_i/(1-\beta_m)$. Let $X_0 = (\bigcup_{i=1}^{m-1} A_i) \cup A_{m+1}$ and define the normalized measure $p_0 = p/(1-\beta_m)$ on X_0. By Theorem 11.1, the function

$$f_\alpha(x) = [\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}(a_i + a_m p(A_m))I_{A_i}(x)] + (a_{m+1} + a_m p(A_m))I_{A_{m+1}}(x) \tag{11.5}$$

is a coboundary for an ergodic map on X_0 that is isomorphic to the rotation $R_\alpha : [0,1]^{m-1} \to [0,1]^{m-1}$ defined by $R_\alpha(x_1, \ldots, x_{m-1}) = (x_1 + \alpha_1, \ldots, x_{m-1} + \alpha_{m-1})$ modulo one. We will define an ergodic transformation τ as an extension of R_α. Let

$$D = \{(x_i) \in [0,1]^{m-1} : 0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{p_i}{q_i} x_i < \beta_m\}.$$

Define $X = \{(x,0) : x \in [0,1]^{m-1}\} \cup \{(x,1) : x \in D\}$. Define $\tau : X \to X$ as

$$\tau(x,i) = \begin{cases} (x,1) & \text{if } x \in D \text{ and } i = 0, \\ (R_\alpha(x), 0) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The fact that f is a coboundary for a transformation isomorphic to τ follows from the fact that $f_\beta(x) = I_D(x) - \beta_m$ is a coboundary for R_α. One way to establish that f_β is a coboundary, is to apply a generalization of the argument found in [14, 22]. This establishes that f_β is tight, and hence a coboundary. Therefore, f is a coboundary for an ergodic map isomorphic to τ. The general case of (3) with rational dependencies can be handled in a similar manner. □

Remark: Theorem 11.2 may be extended to bounded countable step functions. For unbounded step functions $f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i I_{A_i}$, the same construction can be extended in a straightforward manner to produce an ergodic measure preserving transformation T. If each set $\{\mu(A_1), \mu(A_2), \ldots, \mu(A_m)\}$ is rationally independent for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then the method in theorem 11.1 generates an ergodic T. However, it is possible that f will not be a coboundary for T with measurable transfer function. In particular, for any $r < \infty$, there exists $f \in L_r$ such that the ergodic measure preserving transformation T constructed in theorem 11.1 does not have a measurable solution g to the equation $f = g - g \circ T$.

12. Weak Mixing Coboundaries

Theorem 12.1. *Suppose $f \in L_\infty(X)$ is mean-zero, and takes on essentially infinitely many values. There exist a weak mixing system $(X, \mathcal{B}, p, \tau)$ and an $L_\infty(X)$ function g such that $f(x) = g(x) - g(\tau x)$ for almost every $x \in X$.*

The following four lemmas are the main tools for iteratively constructing our transformation and proving the previous theorem.

12.1. **Balanced Partitions.** Let A be a measurable subset of X and $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ in $L_1(A, p_A)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. We say a finite partition Π of A is ϵ-balanced and uniform, if there exists $E \in \Pi$ such that:

(1) $p(E) < \epsilon p(A)$,
(2) $\int_{A \setminus E} f dp = \frac{p(A \setminus E)}{p(A)} \int_A f dp$,
(3) $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ for $x, y \in a$ and $a \in \Pi \setminus \{E\}$,
(4) $p(c) = p(d)$ for $c, d \in \Pi \setminus \{E\}$.

We refer to this type of partition as a PUB(ϵ) partition for $f_{|A}$. The set E is referred to as the exceptional set of the PUB.

Lemma 12.2. *Suppose $A \subset X$ is measurable and $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ is integrable and takes on essentially infinitely many values. Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a PUB(ϵ) partition such that f takes on essentially infinitely many values on both its exceptional set E and its complement $A \setminus E$.*

Proof: Without loss of generality, it is sufficient to prove the lemma where $0 < ||f||_\infty < 1$ and $\epsilon < 1$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{2}{m} < \epsilon. \tag{12.1}$$

For $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2m-1$, let

$$A_i = \{x \in A : -1 + \frac{i}{m} \leq f(x) < -1 + \frac{i+1}{m}\}. \tag{12.2}$$

Let $\alpha = \min\{p(A_i) : p(A_i) > 0\}$. There exists i_0 such that f takes on infinitely many values on A_{i_0}. Let E_0 and E_1 be disjoint subsets of A_{i_0} with equal measure and such that

$$\frac{1}{p(E_0)} \int_{E_0} f dp < \frac{1}{p(A_{i_0})} \int_{A_{i_0}} f dp, \tag{12.3}$$

$$\frac{1}{p(E_1)} \int_{E_1} f dp > \frac{1}{p(A_{i_0})} \int_{A_{i_0}} f dp, \tag{12.4}$$

and f takes on infinitely many values on the set $A_{i_0} \setminus (E_0 \cup E_1)$ and on the set $E_0 \cup E_1$. Let

$$d = \min\{|\frac{1}{p(E_i)} \int_{E_i} f dp - \frac{1}{p(A_{i_0})} \int_{A_{i_0}} f dp| : i = 0, 1\}.$$

By simultaneous Diophantine approximation [5], there exist $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$q > \max\{\frac{2N}{(1-\epsilon)p(A)}, \frac{2p(A)}{dp(E_1)}\}, \tag{12.5}$$

and for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, 2m-1$,

$$|qp(A_i) - p_i| < q^{\frac{-1}{2m}}, \tag{12.6}$$

$$2mq^{-1/2m} < \epsilon, \tag{12.7}$$

$$2mq^{-1/2m} < d(\frac{2\alpha}{3} - q^{\frac{-1}{2m}}). \tag{12.8}$$

Let $n = q + 1$. Thus,

$$|p(A_i) - (\frac{p_i}{n} + \frac{p(A_i)}{n})| < n^{-1}q^{-1/2m}. \tag{12.9}$$

Let $h = \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} p_i$. For $i = 0, 1, \ldots, 2m-1$, we can choose subsets $B_i \subset A_i$ such that

$$p(B_i) = p(A_i) - \frac{p_i}{n}, \tag{12.10}$$

$$\frac{1}{p(B_i)} \int_{B_i} f dp = \frac{1}{p(A_i)} \int_{A_i} f dp. \tag{12.11}$$

Thus,

$$|\sum_{i=0}^{2m-1}\int_{B_i} f dp| = |\sum_{i=0}^{2m-1}\frac{p(B_i)}{p(A_i)}\int_{A_i} f dp| = |\sum_{i=0}^{2m-1}(\frac{p(B_i)}{p(A_i)}-\frac{1}{n})\int_{A_i} f dp| \tag{12.12}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1}|p(B_i)-\frac{p(A_i)}{n}| = \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1}|p(A_i)-\frac{p_i+p(A_i)}{n}| \tag{12.13}$$

$$< 2mn^{-1}q^{-1/2m} < \frac{d}{n}(\frac{2\alpha}{3}-q^{\frac{-1}{2m}}). \tag{12.14}$$

This implies we can choose B_{i_0} such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2m-1}\int_{B_i} f dp = 0. \tag{12.15}$$

Let $E = \bigcup_{i=0}^{2m-1} B_i$ and partition each set $A_i \setminus B_i$ into p_i subsets of measure $1/n$ to form Π. Therefore, $p(E) < \epsilon$ and our lemma is proven. □

12.2. **Balanced Uniform Towers.** Let A be a measurable subset of X and $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ a bounded, mean-zero function. Given $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, an ϵ-balanced and uniform tower for f is a set of disjoint intervals $I_i \subset A$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, h$ and an invertible measure preserving map $\tau : I_i \to I_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, h-1$, such that:

$$p(\bigcup_{i=1}^{h} I_i) > (1-\epsilon)p(A); \tag{12.16}$$

$$|\sum_{i=0}^{k} f(\tau^i x)| < ||f||_\infty + \epsilon \quad \text{for } x \in I_1, k < h, \tag{12.17}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{h}\int_{I_i} f dp = \int_A f dp, \text{ and} \tag{12.18}$$

$$|\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} f(\tau^i x)| < \epsilon \quad \text{for } x \in I_1. \tag{12.19}$$

We refer to this type of tower as a TUB(ϵ, h) tower for $f_{|A}$. If $f_{|A}$ has a PUB(ϵ), then $f_{|A}$ has a TUB(ϵ, h), if there exist disjoint intervals $I_i \subset A$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, h$ and an invertible measure preserving map $\tau : I_i \to I_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, h-1$, such that for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, h$, $I_i \in \Pi \setminus \{E\}$ and (12.17), (12.19) hold.

Lemma 12.3. *Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, p)$ be a Lebesgue probability space and A a measurable subset of X. Suppose $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, mean-zero, and takes on essentially infinitely many values on A. Given $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $h > N$ and a TUB(ϵ, h) tower for f such that f takes on infinitely many values on both $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{h} I_i)$ and $(A \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{h} I_i)$.*

Proof: From the construction of PUB$(\epsilon/2)$ in the previous lemma, partition $A_i \setminus B_i$ into a disjoint union of sets $A_i(j)$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, p_i$, such that

$$p(A_i(j)) = \frac{1}{n}. \tag{12.20}$$

12.2.1. *Greedy Stacking.* Now we give an inductive procedure for stacking the sets $A_i(j)$. Choose arbitrary $A_i(j)$ and label the set I_1. Given $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_{k-1}$, let

$$\sigma_{k-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \int_{I_i} f dp. \tag{12.21}$$

If $k = h$, then we are done. If $\sigma_{k-1} \leq 0$, choose

$$I_k = A_i(j) \not\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} \{I_i\}$$

such that $\int_{I_k} f dp \geq 0$. This is possible, since $k < h$ and $\sigma_h = \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \int_{A_i \setminus B_i} f dp = 0$. Otherwise, if $\sigma_k > 0$, then by the construction of $A_i(j)$, there exists $I_k \not\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} \{I_i\}$ such that $\int_{I_k} f dp < 0$. This procedure produces a sequence of sets I_i for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, h$ with the property:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{h} \int_{I_i} f dp = \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \int_{A_i \setminus B_i} f dp \tag{12.22}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \int_{B_i} f dp = 0. \tag{12.23}$$

12.2.2. *Level Refinement.* Our transformation τ will map I_i onto I_{i+1} for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, h-1$. Let Φ be the set of measure preserving maps τ such that $I_{i+1} = \tau(I_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, h-1$. Given $\tau \in \Phi$, disjoint subsets D_1, D_2 contained in I_1 with equal measure, and an invertible measure preserving mapping $\psi : D_1 \to D_2$, let

$$d(\tau, D_1, D_2, \psi) = \inf_{x \in D_1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} f(\tau^i x) - \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} f(\tau^i(\psi(x)))\right).$$

Define

$$d(D_1, D_2) = \sup_{\psi} d(\tau, D_1, D_2, \psi).$$

and

$$d(\tau) = \sup_{D_1} \{p(D_1) | \exists D_2 \text{ such that } d(D_1, D_2) > \epsilon\}.$$

Finally, let

$$d = \inf_{\tau \in \Phi} d(\tau).$$

We claim that $d = 0$. If $d > 0$, then there exists $\tau \in \Phi$ such that $|d(\tau) - d| < d/h$. This produces D_1, D_2 and ψ such that $d(\tau, D_1, D_2, \psi) \geq \epsilon$ and $|p(D_1) - d| < d/h$. Then there exists $0 \leq i < h$ such that for $x \in D_1$,

$$f(\tau^i x) \leq f(\tau^i(\psi x)) - \frac{\epsilon}{h}.$$

Modify the map τ, by switching $\tau^i(D_1)$ and $\tau^i(D_2)$. Thus, there exists $\tau_1 \in \Phi$ such that $\tau_1(\tau^{i-1} D_1) = \tau^i(D_2)$ and $\tau_1(\tau^{i-1} D_2) = \tau^i(D_1)$. If $d(\tau_1, D_1, D_2, \psi) \geq \epsilon$, modify τ_1 in a similar manner to produce τ_2. After a finite number of steps, we may produce τ_k such that $d(\tau_k, D_1, D_2, \psi) < \epsilon$. By passing to a subset of D_1 if necessary, we obtain $\tau' \in \Phi$ such that $d(\tau') < d$ which proves that $d = 0$ by contradiction. Therefore, this proves (12.19) of our lemma. Claim (12.17) follows in a similar manner. □

12.3. **Weakly Balanced Uniform Towers.** Let A be a measurable subset of X and $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ a bounded, mean-zero function. Given $\epsilon > 0$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$, an ϵ-weakly balanced and uniform tower for f is a set of disjoint intervals $I_{i,j} \subset A$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, h_j$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, w$, and an invertible measure preserving map $\tau : I_{i,j} \to I_{i+1,j}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, h_j - 1$, such that:

$$p(\bigcup_{j=1}^{w}\bigcup_{i=1}^{h} I_{i,j}) \;>\; (1-\epsilon)p(A); \tag{12.24}$$

$$|\sum_{i=0}^{k} f(\tau^i x)| \;<\; M||f||_\infty \quad \text{for } x \in I_{1,j}, k < h_j, \tag{12.25}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{w}\sum_{i=1}^{h_j}\int_{I_{i,j}} f dp \;=\; \int_A f dp, \text{ and} \tag{12.26}$$

$$|\sum_{i=0}^{h_j-1} f(\tau^i x)| \;<\; \epsilon \quad \text{for } x \in I_{1,j}. \tag{12.27}$$

We refer to this type of tower as a W-TUB(ϵ, M) tower for $f_{|A}$. If $p(I_{1,i}) = p(I_{1,j})$ for $1 \le i, j \le w$, and $h_{i+1} = h_i + 1$ for $1 \le i < w$, then we say $f_{|A}$ has a W-TUB(ϵ, M, h_1, w) tower. A W-TUB(ϵ, M, h_1, w) tower may be derived from a PUB(ϵ) in a similar manner to the way a TUB(ϵ, h) is obtained from a PUB(ϵ).

Lemma 12.4 (weak mixing style)**.** *Take a measurable set $A \in \mathcal{B}$. Suppose $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, mean-zero, and takes on essentially infinitely many values on A. Given $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $h > N$ and a W-TUB$(\epsilon, 3, h, 3)$ tower for f such that f takes on infinitely many values on both $(\bigcup_{j=1}^{3}\bigcup_{i=1}^{h_j} I_{i,j})$ and $(A \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{3}\bigcup_{i=1}^{h_j} I_{i,j})$.*

Proof: The proof is similar to Lemma 12.3. Since f takes on essentially infinitely many values on $A_{i_0} \setminus B_{i_0}$, there exist $d > 0$ and disjoint sets $D_1, D_2 \subset A_{i_0} \setminus B_{i_0}$ with equal positive measure such that for $x_1 \in D_1$ and $x_2 \in D_2$,

$$f(x_1) < f(x_2) - d.$$

Choose $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$r(q+1) > \frac{4||f||_\infty}{dp(D_1)}.$$

Let $n_1 = r(q+1)$. For $i \neq i_0$, partition $A_i \setminus B_i$ into rp_i sets of measure $1/n_1$. Choose $\lfloor \frac{4||f||_\infty}{d} \rfloor$ subsets in D_1 of measure $1/2n_1$, and $\lfloor \frac{4||f||_\infty}{d} \rfloor$ subsets in D_2 of measure $1/2n_1$. Pair each subset from D_1 with a distinct subset from D_2. This forms $\lfloor \frac{4||f||_\infty}{d} \rfloor$ subsets of measure $1/n_1$. Label these subsets $A_{i_0}(j)$ for $1 \le j \le \lfloor \frac{4||f||_\infty}{d} \rfloor$, and for $\lfloor \frac{4||f||_\infty}{d} \rfloor < j \le rp_{i_0}$, choose disjoint sets $A_{i_0}(j)$ arbitrarily of equal measure from the remainder of $A_{i_0} \setminus B_{i_0}$.

Choose $I_1 = A_i(j) \notin A_{i_0}$. Cut I_1 into 3 subsets of equal width and stack the left most third on top of the right most third. Apply the same greedy stacking algorithm as in Lemma 12.3. Level refinement on the sets $A_{i_0}(j)$ for $1 \le j \le \lfloor \frac{4||f||_\infty}{d} \rfloor$, will be able to "tamp down" the differing values produced from the initial cut & stack on I_1. Note $h_1 = r\sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} p_i - 1$. We leave the rest of the technical details to the reader. □

12.4. **Big Tower, Little Tower.** An extension of Lemma 12.4 is needed to construct the final transformation and transfer function. It can be proved in the same manner as Lemma 12.4. Given a TUB, its width is $p(I_1)$ and given a W-TUB, its width is $\sum_{j=1}^{w} p(I_{1,j})$.

Lemma 12.5. *Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, p)$ be a Lebesgue probability space and A_1, A_2 be disjoint measurable sets. Suppose $f_1 : A_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ and $f_2 : A_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ are each bounded, measurable, mean-zero and assume essentially infinitely many values. Given $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $n > N$, and $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that each f_j admit a TUB(ϵ, h_j) of width $1/n$, and such that f_j takes on infinitely many values on its TUB and on the complement of its TUB.*

Lemma 12.5 says that we can build two towers of the same width for two different functions with disjoint supports. The generality of the Diophantine approximation allows the simultaneous construction of towers with the same width. We can generalize the construction of W-TUB in the same manner.

The previous lemmas will be invoked iteratively to produce a final transformation τ. Lemma 12.3 is used at the initial step. Lemma 12.5 is used in the following steps to define the induced transformation τ_A on most of the top portion of the tower where τ has not been defined yet. Here, we point out that this may be done in such a way that the levels of the towers approximate sets from a generating, refining sequence of partitions. To see how to do this, in lemma 12.3, first choose sets from a fine partition that approximate the sets A_i (in lemma 12.3). Then modify these sets on a set of small measure to produce a uniform partition of each set A_i. This procedure can be carried out for both lemmas 12.3 and 12.5. This is used in the construction below to guarantee ergodicity and subsequently weak mixing.

12.5. **Weak Mixing Construction.** For convenience, given a W-TUB$(\epsilon, 3, h, 3)$ with subintervals $I_{i,j}^k$, define $I_i^k = \bigcup_{j=0}^{w-1} I_{i+j,j+1}^k$. The following iterative technique is used to produce a weak mixing map τ and an L_∞ function g such that $f = g \circ \tau - g$. Initially, Lemma 12.4 is used to produce disjoint sets X_1 and Y_1 such that $X = X_1 \cup Y_1$. The set X_1 corresponds to the W-TUB produced from Lemma 12.4 and Y_1 its complement. Lemma 12.5 is used to decompose the part of X_1 where τ is not defined into X_1^1 and X_1^2, and Y_1 is decomposed into $Y_1 = Y_1^1 \cup Y_1^2$. Then Y_1^1 is stacked on top of newly stacked X_1^1 to produce X_2. Also, $Y_2 = X_1^2 \cup Y_1^2$. Once again, Lemma 12.4 is applied to decompose X_2 into $X_2^1 \cup X_2^2$. Then set $X_3 = X_2^1$ and $Y_3 = Y_2 \cup X_2^2$.

Choose sequences $\epsilon_i > 0$ and $N_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots$ such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\epsilon_i + \frac{1}{N_i}) < \infty. \tag{12.28}$$

By Lemma 12.4, there exist $n_0 > N_0$, $H_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, and a W-TUB$(\epsilon_0, 3, H_0, 3)$ tower for f.

Let $X_1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^{3} \bigcup_{i=1}^{H_0+j-1} I_{i,j}^0$ be its W-TUB decomposition, and $Y_1 = X \setminus X_1$. Define f_1^1 on $I_{H_0+j,j+1}^0$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$ by

$$f_1^1(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{H_0+j-1} f(\tau_0^{-i} x),$$

and $f_2^1 : Y_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_2^1(x) = f(x)$. By Lemma 12.5, if we let $A_1 = \bigcup_{j=0}^{2} I_{H_0+j,j+1}^0$ and $A_2 = Y_1$, then we generate $n_1 > N_1$ and TUBs of width $\frac{1}{n_1}$. There exist the following maps:

$$R_1 : I_i^1 \to I_{i+1}^1, i = 1, 2, \ldots, H_1 - 1, I_i^1 \subset I_{H_0}^0$$

and

$$S_1 : J_i^1 \to J_{i+1}^1, i = 1, 2, \ldots, h_1, J_i^1 \subset Y_1.$$

Let $\phi_1 : I_{H_1}^1 \to J_1^1$ be a measure preserving map. Define

$$\tau_1(x) = \begin{cases} \tau_0(x) & \text{if } x \in X_1 \setminus I_{H_0+j,j}^0 \\ \tau_0^{-H_0+1-j}(R_1(x)) & \text{if } \tau^{-H_0+2-j}x \in I_{i,j}^1, i < H_1 \\ S_1(x) & \text{if } x \in Y_1 \setminus J_{h_1}^1 \\ \phi_1(x) & \text{if } x \in I_{H_1}^1. \end{cases}$$

The map ϕ_1 may be obtained by stacking the J_i^1's on top of the I_i^1's. It maps the top of the A_1 TUB to the bottom of the A_2 TUB. The map τ_1 is not defined on $J_{h_1}^1$ and on

$$Y_2 = ((\bigcup_{j=0}^{2} \bigcup_{i=1}^{H_0+j} I_{i,j+1}^0) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{H_1} I_i^1) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{h_1} J_i^1))^c.$$

Lemma 12.4 is invoked with $A = J_{h_1}^1$. We continue the construction in this manner to get the final transformation,

$$\tau(x) = \lim_{i\to\infty} \tau_i(x).$$

For convenience, let $I_{H_k+i}^k = J_i^k$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots h_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, τ may be represented by a Rokhlin tower, $\{I_i^k : 1 \le i \le H_k+h_k\}$, with width $1/n_k$, and such that $Y_{k+1} = (\bigcup_{i=0}^{H_k+h_k} I_i^k)^c$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \le j \le H_k$, and $x \in I_j^k$, define

$$g_k(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} f(\tau^{-i}x).$$

Below we show that $\lim_{k\to\infty} g_k(x)$ exists almost everywhere. This will imply that $g(x) = \lim_{k\to\infty} g_k(x)$ is the transfer function for f.

Since the accumulative measure of J_i^k over i for fixed k, goes to zero, we can ignore this part of the space. Suppose $x \in I_j^k$. There exists j' such that

$$g_{k+1}(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{j'} f_1^k(R_1^{-i}\tau^{H_k+h_k-j}x) - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} f(\tau^{-i}x).$$

By Lemma 12.5,

$$|\sum_{i=1}^{j'} f_1^k(R_1^{-i}\tau^{H_k+h_k-j}x)| < ||f_1^k||_\infty + 3\epsilon_k.$$

Also,

$$||f_1^k||_\infty < 3\epsilon_{k-1}.$$

Hence,

$$|g_{k+1}(x) - g_k(x)| < 3(\epsilon_k + \epsilon_{k-1}). \tag{12.29}$$

By (12.28),

$$g(x) = \lim_{k\to\infty} g_k(x)$$

exists almost everywhere. Also, g is in L_∞, since $f \in L_\infty$, and due to conditions (12.29) and (12.28). □

Since we are able to construct the W-TUB towers such that the levels generate the sigma algebra, then we can apply the standard argument of Chacon ([6]) to show that τ has only 1 as

an eigenvalue. Since τ is ergodic, then τ is weak mixing. This completes the proof of Theorem 12.1. □

13. Unresolved Issues

There are a number of questions that we have not been resolve. We list them here with references to related sections in this article.

(1) One general question (a vague one) is this: given $f \in L_r(X), 0 \leq r \leq \infty$, how often is it a τ-coboundary as τ varies?
(2) If $f \in L_1(X)$ is mean-zero, is the set of τ such that it is a τ-coboundary with a measurable transfer function necessarily of first category? Is this also the case if f is just measurable? See Proposition 6.1 and the remarks following it.
(3) Is every mean-zero $f \in L_r(X), 1 \leq r \leq \infty$, a τ-coboundary for some τ with transfer function in $L_r(X)$? What if we allow measurable transfer functions? Also, even in the first case, are the τ that work actually dense in $\mathcal{T}$ in the weak topology? Or could it be there is only one possible map τ in some cases? See Section 11 and Section 12.
(4) Is every measurable function a τ-coboundary with a measurable transfer function, for some τ?
(5) Given σ, is the set of τ for which there is no common coboundaries in $L_r(X)$ always of first category? This was proved only if σ is ergodic and rank one. How are the results on this affected by allowing the transfer functions be just measurable? See Section 5
(6) What is the answer to Baggett's problem? Also, how do we characterize the case where the rotations for which the function is a coboundary are infinite in number, or even dense? See Remark 8.3
(7) What are results that distinguish classes of functions $f \in L_r(X)$ that are τ-coboundaries with transfer function $h \in L_s(X)$ with $s \leq r$. Here τ could be fixed or be allowed to vary among all of the maps. For example, given τ which is ergodic, is there a bounded mean-zero function such that f is a τ-coboundary with a measurable transfer function, but not with an integrable transfer function? See Remark 9.2.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Anush Tserunyan, Mariusz Lemanczyk, and Isaac Kornfeld for their very helpful input while working on this article.

References

[1] D. V. Anosov, *On an additive functional homology equation connected wtih an ergodic rotation of the circle*, Isv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. Tom 37 (1973) No. 6, Math USSR Izvestija Vol. 7 (1973) No. 6.
[2] L. Baggett, H. Medina, and K. Merrill, *On functions that are trivial cocycles for a set of irrationals, II*, Proc. of the AMS, 124 no. 1 (1996) 89-93.
[3] L. Baggett, *On functions that are trivial for a set of irrationals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1988), 1212-1215.
[4] F. Browder, *On iteration of transformations in non-compact minimal dynamical systems*, Proceedings of the American Math Society 9 (1958), 773-780.
[5] J.W.S. Cassels, *An Introduction to Diophantine Approximation*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1957.
[6] Chacon, R. V., Weakly mixing transformations which are not strongly mixing, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **22** (1969), 559-562.
[7] K. Dajani, *Coboundaries under integrable exponentiation*, Tokyo J. Math, 15 No. 1 (1992) 83-89.
[8] A. del Junco and J. Rosenblatt, *Counterexamples in ergodic theory and number theory*, Math Annalen 245 (1979) 185-197.

[9] K. de Leeuw, Y. Katznelson, and J-P Kahane, *Sur les coefficients de Fourier des fonctions continues*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. A-B 285 (1977), no. 16.
[10] Y. Derriennic, Some aspects of recent works in ergodic theory with special emphasis on the Central Limit Theorem, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 15 no. 1 (2006) 143-158.
[11] Y. Derriennic and M. Lin, *Fractional Poisson equations and ergodic theorems for fractional coboundaries*, Israel Journalof Math 123 (2001), 93-130.
[12] A. Gomilko, M. Haase, Y. Tomilov, *On Rates in Mean Ergodic Theorems*, Math Research Letters 18 no. 2 (2011) 201-213.
[13] P. Halmos, *Lectures on Ergodic Theory*, Volume 142 of AMS Chelsea Publishing Series (1956).
[14] E. Hecke, *Analytische Funktionen und die Verteilung von Zahlen mod eins*, Abh. Math. Semin. Hamburg Univ. no. 1 (1922) 54 - 76.
[15] M. Herman, L^2 *regularity of measurable solutions of a finite-difference equatio of the circle*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Ssytems 24 (2004) 1277-1281.
[16] R. Jewett, *The prevalence of uniquely ergodic systems*, J. Math. Mech. 19 (1969/1970) 717-729.
[17] I. Kornfeld and V. Losert, *Coboundaries and measure-preserving actions of nilpotent and solvable groups*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, 24 No. 3 (2004) 873-890.
[18] I. Kornfeld, *Coboundaries for commuting transformations*, Illinois J. Math 43 (1999) 528-539.
[19] W. Krieger, *On unique ergodicity*, Proc. of the 6th Berkeley symposium on Math., Stat. and Probability, vol. II, University of California Press, 1972, 327-346.
[20] M. Lin and R. Sine, *Ergodic theory and the functional equation* $(I-T)x = y$, J. Operator Theory 10 (1983) no. 1, 153-166.
[21] D. A. Lind, *Products of coboundaries for commuting nonsingular automorphisms*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeits-theorie verw. Gebiete 41 (1978) 135-139.
[22] K. Petersen, *On a series of cosecants related to a problem in ergodic theory*, Compositio Mathematica 26 no. 3(1973) 313 - 317.
[23] J. Rosenblatt, *Uniqueness of invariant means for measure-preserving transformations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 265 (1981), no. 2, 623-636.
[24] J. Rosenblatt, *Automatic continuity is equivalent to uniqueness of invariant means*, Illinois J. Math. 35 (1991), no. 2, 339-348.
[25] J. Rosenblatt, *Optimal Norm Approximation in Ergodic Theory*, to appear in the 2013 Proceedings of the Ergodic theory Workshop, ed. I. Assani, UNC, Chapel Hill.
[26] A. V. Rozhdestvenskiim *On nontrivial additive cocycles on a torus*, (Russian. Russian summary) Mat. Sb. 199 (2008), no. 2, 71–92; translation in Sb. Math. 199 (2008), no. 1-2, 229251.
[27] K. Schmidt, *Cocycles of ergodic transformation group*, MacMillen Lectures in Math, Vol. 1. Macmillan Company of India, Ltd., Delhi, 1977.
[28] S. Trott, *A pair of generators for the unimodular group*, Canadian Math Bulletin 5 (1962) 245-252.

T. Adams
E-mail: terry@ganita.org

J. Rosenblatt (communicating author)
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis
Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA
E-mail: joserose@iupui.edu